(1)

CF 1002

(B)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)
Writ Petition no.1467 2004

(PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR WRITS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS PROHIBITION ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE FURTHER DIRECTIONS.

PETITIONER: Subhagani Mishra(now known as Subhaginee Tiwari) W/O Shri Rajendra Tiwari aged about 30 years r/o Vill-Jairamnagar Post-Jairamnagar ,Tehsil-Masturi,presently residing at chaterjee gali ,Sarkanda, Dist-Bilaspur(C.G)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS:

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through: the Secretary Department of Health Services, Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Director, Directorate of health Services, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Tehsil & District: Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Joint Director, Health Services, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur, Tehsil & Dist. Bilaspur (C.G.)

Copy 9/5/04 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

P. R. No. 1159/04 Presented by Shri Shailendra Soni dated 9.5.04

DETAILS OF PETITION

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:**

   As stated in the cause title above.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:**

   As Stated in the cause title above.

3. **PARTICULARS OF THE ORDER AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS MADE**

   The instant petition has not been made against any particular order.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1467 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

23.08.2004: -

Shri Shailendra Soni, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sandeep Dubely, Panel Lawyer for the respondents.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the inaction on the part of respondent No. 3 in not issuing the order of appointment to her inspite of the direction of superior authorities though she has been selected as staff nurse. It is submitted that despite the availability of post and verification etc. having been done, the petitioner has not been issued the appointment order. On 14.07.2004 this Court had directed counsel for the respondents to seek instructions as to why the appointment order is not being issued to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that he has already informed the respondents about the order dated 14.07.2004 but no instruction has been received from them. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that after getting the verification etc. done the similarly situated persons have been issued appointment orders by respondent No. 2 vide annexures P/13 and P/14. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that after having verification etc. done, the appointment of the petitioner cannot be withheld arbitrarily.

In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to issue the appointment order to the petitioner within a month from today if it is not already issued.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge